

Research on obesity – time to think about the next agendas

Touray MML^{1,2*}

¹ Research Fellow, Health Economics and Policy Research Unit, Faculty of Life Sciences and Education, University of South Wales, United Kingdom, CF37 1DL.

² Research Volunteer - Kirklees and Calderdale Areas, Macmillan Cancer Support, Yorkshire, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The purpose of this paper is to highlight a fundamental gap in the economic research on obesity - the demand for unnecessary weight gain preventive goods. Such research is important as it will provide understanding of people's preventive behaviours and for that matter inform policies and practices with regards to influencing people's uptake of obesity preventive goods.

Materials and methods: Using MeSH and PICO approaches, a search strategy was developed to search for relevant articles in a number of academic and scientific journal repositories including PubMed Central, EconLit, Medline, Medscape and relevant (economic) journals' archives. The search strategy combined terms/phrases to look for publications.

Results: A total of 1351 potentially relevant articles (titles and abstracts) were reviewed. No publications could be found that concerned people's preventive behaviours in terms of demand with respect to obesity preventive goods. Only one

article which was not specific to obesity looked into people's preventive behaviours using an economic model.

Conclusions: Despite the huge economic and health burden of obesity, participations in activities deemed supportive to weight gain prevention are dismal. It must not therefore be assumed that there will be demand for all effective weight preventive goods/services. As a result of the complex nature of the condition, the demand for obesity preventive goods requires understanding of the complex factors which influence individual decisions. The behavioural economic perspective could help to increase understanding of the preferences of people as it examines how decisions are made by individuals in complex socio-economic and socio-cultural circumstances and financial constraints involving trade-offs.

Key words: Obesity, overweight, demand, economics, prevention, preventive goods.

*Corresponding author:

Research Fellow, Health Economics and Policy Research Unit
Life Sciences and Education,
University of South Wales, Wales, United Kingdom, CF37 1DL
e-mails: morro.touray@southwales.ac.uk; mmltouray@alumni.lse.a.uk

Received: 19.03.2013

Accepted: 07.08.2013

Progress in Health Sciences

Vol. 3(2) 2013 pp 40-52

© Medical University of Bialystok, Poland

INTRODUCTION

Overweight and Obesity, defined by the World Health Organisation as “abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that presents a risk to health [1],” and widely measured as Body Mass Index (BMI) of 25-29.9 for overweight and ≥ 30 for obese, are among leading causes of preventable morbidity and mortality in the United Kingdom (UK) [2-5] and worldwide [6,7]. Being both a major risk factor for several chronic diseases [3, 8-41], and a condition of its own with severe impact on Health Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) [11, 38, 42-50], the health and economic implications of the pandemic are enormous. Estimates suggest that overweight/obesity and related illnesses cost healthcare systems around the world millions of pounds annually [17,25,28,32].

Since obesity (used from here to collectively refer to overweight and obesity unless otherwise stated) is generated by energy imbalance between calories consumed and expended, that highlights its behavioural nature. In that respect, the risk of obesity related morbidity and mortality can be reduced through preventive behaviours. To date, studies into the understanding of preventive behaviour and its determinants have been examined mainly from psychological and sociological perspectives [10,14,26,31]. Most of these, which were not specifically on obesity, centre on the Health Belief Model (HBM) [14] which has several limitations.

Preventive health behaviours refer to the actions to prevent the development of ill health. These actions involve consumption of goods which reduce risks of future ill health. Such goods have been defined as “preventive goods” [14] and mainly fall under economic disciplinary research. As a behavioural science, economics considers consumer behaviour in the context of utility theory. However, this conventional utility theory cannot fully explain people’s behaviours with regards to obesity prevention as there are many other factors at play.

Economists have paid little attention to why the uptake of weight reduction activities is low and there remains a need to gain an understanding of the behaviours of both the obese population and those of normal weight with regard to individuals’ demand for preventive goods. The aim of this paper is to search relevant publications which address the issue of why some people demand obesity preventive goods whilst others do not i.e. why people behave the way they do with regard to demanding abnormal weight gain preventive goods. The main objective therefore, is to highlight a fundamental gap in the economic research on obesity - the demand for unnecessary weight gain preventive goods.

This paper begins by putting the pandemic into context in terms of its health and economic impact. In highlighting the gap in economic research and how it should be approached, this work provides a synopsis of research initiatives thus far on the drugs development front. This synopsis has been provided because any eventual successes in developing effective anti-obesity drugs will still be subjected to evaluations not just in terms of their effectiveness and likely impact on scarce healthcare resources but most importantly for purposes of their possible introduction into healthcare and their ultimate uptake by the population.

CONTEXT

The morbidity and mortality impact of obesity has been well documented [8,15,19,24,36, 38,39,41]. It is a risk factor for a range of conditions including vitamin D and B12 deficiencies [9, 11, 18, 40], endometrial, breast and colon cancers, type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia, coronary heart disease, hypertension, deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, menstrual abnormalities, polycystic ovarian syndrome, infertility, erectile dysfunction, sleep apnoea, asthma, gastro-oesophageal reflux, mental disorder, arthritis, musculoskeletal disorders, depression and reduced libido [8,13,15,16,20-23, 27,29,33, 35,36,39,42-57]. Obesity has also been established as a condition of its own with severe impact on HRQoL [20,44,58-66].

The above impacts have huge implications on healthcare resource use and economies as a whole around the world [25,28, 39, 67-79]. In 2003, the annual extra medical costs of obesity in the United States (US) were estimated to be about \$75 billion [80] equivalent to about 4–7% of its healthcare expenditure [81,82]. Some five years later, it was estimated to have added about \$147 billion to the country’s healthcare costs [83].

In most countries other than the US, obesity accounts for approximately 1 - 3% of total healthcare expenditure [32]. The aggregated costs of obesity in Canada is estimated to be from \$1.27 to \$11.08 billion [84]. In the UK, the Health Select Committee of the House of Commons suggested that the total annual cost of obesity could be around £6.6–7.4 billion [25].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PubMed Central, EconLit, Medline, Medscape and relevant (economic) journals were searched together with references cited in identified articles.

Using both Medical Subject Headings and PICO (Participants or Population, Intervention or

Exposure, Comparison and Outcome) [85] methods, a search strategy was developed. This combined the terms obesity, overweight or weight gain, with any of the following terms/phrases: 'economics', 'prevention', 'diet', 'healthy diet', 'calories', 'preventive goods', 'demand', 'physical activity', 'preventive goods demand', 'cost of illness', 'healthcare costs', 'costs and cost analysis' and 'employer health costs' for example 'obesity – physical activity'. The search was not restricted to any date or any specific country or geographical region.

RESULTS

In total, 1351 potentially relevant articles (titles and abstracts) were reviewed. Only one article looked at demand for physical activity interventions provided by the healthcare sector in Östergötland County of southern Sweden [86]. This population based survey found that only about 25% of the adult population was physically active and that higher activity levels were associated with younger age groups, higher education levels, higher income levels, and lower BMI. Hughes et al's [71] paper looked at physical activities facilities availability and use by older adults (≥ 65 years old). Four other articles explored motivations for physical activities [87-90] and established that long-term participants in physical activities do it for intrinsic purposes and not necessarily for prevention motives.

Other than Leijon et al. [86] and Hughes et al. [71] which only discuss participation/involvement in physical activities, no publications could be found that concerned the main area of interest i.e. people's preventive behaviours in terms of demand with respect to obesity preventive goods. Only one article [14], which was not specific to obesity, looked into people's preventive behaviours using an economic model. The rest of the papers dealt with the economic burden of obesity pandemic or the evaluation of the various obesity related interventions including drug treatments and surgery.

The economic burden

Much is known about the economic impact of obesity, its cost of treatment, and the economic evaluations of various intervention programmes. The understanding of economic impact and the economic rationale for interventions have also been well presented [91-95]. While most of the economic studies are model based, others have been conducted along well designed trials and in real life healthcare situations.

Tsai et al. [96], based on their review inclusion criteria, identified 33 studies [36, 39, 47, 56,63, 67,70,72,76,78,79,81,97-117] published

between 1992 and 2008 which reported on the cost of overweight, the cost of obesity and on the cost of overweight and obesity combined using BMI as the standard measures. The review estimated cost of overweight and obesity per-person in the US to be about \$498 and \$1630 respectively. Using the most recent US data, Finkelstein et al. [105] reported that obese patients incur 46% increased inpatient costs, 27% more physician visits and outpatient costs, and 80% increased spending on prescription drugs compared with normal-weight individuals. Tarride et al's [118] recent study in Ontario, Canada also found similar associations between obesity and "negative impact on health and higher health care costs for adults."

Besides medical costs, there are obesity associated indirect costs borne by society as a result of, for example, work absenteeism and reduced productivity, early retirement and pension payments, decreased years of disability-free life, and increased premature mortality before retirement and the resulting inadequate human resources supply problems [119]. If these indirect costs are factored, the estimated costs would be even much higher.

Surgery

Bariatric surgical procedures are relatively effective for long-term weight loss. However, these surgical procedures are invasive, are often associated with complications [120,121] and are mostly restricted to patients with morbid obesity conditions (i.e. with a BMI of 40 kg/m² or higher) and those with obesity-related complications [122]. Anti-obesity drugs are also frequent adjuncts as they have limited long-term effects [60] and the weight is often regained when treatment is discontinued in the absence of any sustained behavioural modifications.

As per Anderson et al's study [123,124] and the review by Clegg et al. [125], surgery was found to be cost effective in the long-term at £11 000 per Quality Adjusted Life Year [71,125] compared with nonsurgical management. The results of the comparisons of different types of surgery were however equivocal [125].

Anti-obesity drugs

Anti-obesity drugs can be generally categorised into two broad groups according to their mode of action [126] - those that help to reduce energy consumption and those that stimulate energy expenditure. Research into the development of anti-obesity drugs has been undertaken for decades now. However, because of the complex nature of obesity, the development of anti-obesity drugs has not been a success story as such. Few of the drugs have successfully entered the market or made it through clinical trial stages.

In fact, most that have entered the market have been withdrawn as a result of poor safety records. Life-threatening safety concerns led to the withdrawal of many drugs including Aminorex® in 1968, Fenfluramine® and Dexfenfluramine® in

1997, and Phenylpropanolamine® in 2000 [127, 128]. Table 1 provides information on the various anti-obesity drugs and their status.

Table 1. Anti-obesity drugs and their status.

Drug	Trade Name	Mode of Action	Status (Availability)	
			European Union	United States of America
Orlistat	Xenical	Lipase inhibitor	Available	Available
Orlistat	Alli (OTC)	Lipase inhibitor	Available	Available
Phentermine	Duromine, Ionamin	NA/DA releasing agent	Withdrawn in 2001	Available
Methamphetamine	Desoxyn	NA/DA releasing agent	Withdrawn in 2000	Available
Benzphetamine	Didrex	Sympathomimetic	Withdrawn in 2000	Available
Phendimetrazine	Bontril	Sympathomimetic	Withdrawn in 2000	Available
Diethylpropion (amfepramone)	Apisate, Tenuate	Sympathomimetic	Withdrawn in 2000	Available
Sibutramine	Reductil, Meridia	NA/5-HT re-uptake inhibitor	Withdrawn in 2010	Withdrawn in 2010
Rimonabant	Acomplia	CB ₁ antagonist	Withdrawn in 2008	Did not get approved
Phenylpropanolamine	Accutrim, Dexatrim	Sympathomimetic	Withdrawn in 2000	Withdrawn in 2000
Fenfluramine	Pondimin, Ponderax	5-HT releasing agent	Withdrawn in 1997	Withdrawn in 1997
d-Fenfluramine	Redux	5-HT releasing agent	Withdrawn in 1997	Withdrawn in 1997
Aminorex	Aminoxaphen, Aminoxafen, Menocil, Apiquel	Unknown/NA	Withdrawn in 1968	Withdrawn in 1968
Zonisamide	Zonegran	Anti-convulsant agent	Mainly used for epilepsy treatment	At clinical trial phases (phase 3). Use not to exceed 400mg/day
Topiramate* + Phentermine	Qsiva (EU) Qsymia (US)	Unknown/NA + DA releasing agent	Did not get approval	Approved

Sources of data: Vetter ML, Faulconbridge LF, Webb V, Wadden TA [Nat Rev E Endocrinol, 2010 Oct. 6(10):578-588]; Heal DJ, Gosden J, Smith SL [Neuropharmacology, 2012 Jul. 63(1):132-146]; Powell AG, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ [Clin Pharmacol Ther, 2011 Jul. 90(1):40-51]; Ioannides-Demos LL, Proietto J, Tonkin AM, McNeil JJ [Drug Saf, 2006 Apr. 29(4):277-302]; The European Medicines Agency; US Federal Drugs Administration. *Topiramate alone is marketed in the EU under various names for treatment of epilepsy, partial and generalised seizures

Currently, approval has been obtained for clinical use for only a few drugs mainly Orlistat [128-131]. Nonetheless, anti-obesity drugs development efforts are still continuing. There are currently a number of drugs in development or at

trial phases including Zonisamide + Bupropion and Lorcaserin (APD356) [129].

As a result of the limited effectiveness of anti-obesity drugs and the safety and cost concerns

of surgical interventions, we tend to rely on behavioural modification interventions for sustained lifestyle changes and therefore for obesity prevention and management.

Behaviour change interventions

Several weight reduction/maintenance interventions have been subjected to economic evaluations. In the UK, weight problems are usually managed either within the National Health Service primary care sector or in private sector clinics through advice on weight control and management, physical exercise, lifestyle modifications and diet. Specialist services such as very low-calorie-diets (VLCD) are also available. Most of the economic evaluations which assessed these interventions have used different perspectives and analysed effectiveness in terms of changes in the HRQoL gained from a change in BMI for the study participants [54,125,132-142].

Obesity and HRQoL

Obesity's effect on mobility (a key factor in the assessment of HRQoL) is overtly observable. Its impact on HRQoL is independent of any related medical conditions or risks. The effect of obesity on HRQoL has been evaluated using generic instruments as well as those specific to weight [20,58, 61,65,66,143-145] such as EQ-5D and Impact of Weight on Quality Of Life Questionnaire (IWQOL). Studies [20,65,66,143-145] have demonstrated that obesity significantly impairs HRQoL.

While the studies have been consistent on its impact on the physical HRQoL component, there have been mixed findings with regards to the psychological HRQoL component. Mhuchu et al. [64], Wiczinski et al. [144], van Nunen et al. [66], and Swallen et al. [65] found significant effect of overweight and obesity on physical functioning related HRQoL but no links with the psychological component. These papers advanced no reason for the lack of relationships between BMI and the psychological HRQoL. While some attribute this to the non-obesity-specific instruments used in the research [61], others suggest that it is likely to be related to the acceptance of the condition by the society[65]. Jia et al. [20] did not report on the psychological component but found significant correlation between BMI and physical HRQoL. In addition to its impact on the physical HRQoL, Kolotkin et al. [61], Fontaine et al. [58], Wille et al. [145], Blissmer et al. [143] and Hassan et al. [59] found significant relationship between obesity and mental/psychological HRQoL. Confounding variables such as age, sex and socioeconomic factors were included in the assessments of most of the studies and were found to have effects on obesity HRQoL outcomes.

Clinicians, health service managers and policymakers have recognised the importance of HRQoL measurements to inform patient management, programme and policy decisions. A number of instruments have been developed for the purpose of HRQoL research. However, not all the instruments are preference-based which is a requirement for their use in healthcare economic evaluations. The relationship between (time) preference and obesity is crucial because an individual's intertemporal choice could be the ultimate cause of most behaviours that lead to weight related problems. Intertemporal choice is a decision people make between two or more goods which have their payoffs at different times. For instance, most weight reduction interventions require individuals to stop consuming unhealthy foods now and/or adopt an active lifestyle now in order to gain future health gains. A strong preference for immediate enjoyment gained from unhealthy foods and/or sedentary lifestyle over future health benefits could influence people's susceptibility to abnormal weight gain.

DISCUSSIONS

There have been many studies into the understanding of the nature of obesity in terms of its causes, psychological effects, health impact and risk factors, economic impact and the evaluation (both economic and clinical) of its various interventions. Significant advancements have also been made in the fight against the condition. Among the significant strides are the surgical treatments [122,125,132,134,146] and the knowledge that adipose tissues are endocrine organs [147-149] i.e. they produce hormones. It is hence hoped that these biomedical advancements will sooner or later lead to a discovery of drugs that can permanently manipulate our genes or hormones to prevent the development of unnecessary weight.

Despite important advances in the medical, public health, and drugs developments and the efforts to develop lasting and more effective solutions, an important question remains unexplored in economic research. Considering the economic, cultural, environmental, psychological and the health complexities of the condition, even when an effective solution has been found the fundamental question will be whether there will be demand for it. There are many intervention programmes being undertaken around the world [34] at private and public sector levels as well as efforts through international collaborations [34, 150-152] geared towards ameliorating the epidemic. However, uptake of these programmes remains low and they do not have lasting effects – a testimony to the challenges faced by public

health practitioners in securing adherence to obesity prevention guidelines.

In most cases, pharmaceutical firms use modelling to assess the possible demand and affordability in the pricing mechanisms of their products and its eventual introduction into the healthcare [153]. Such models primarily consider the effectiveness of the product, the incidence/prevalence of the concerned disease, the target population (including their socio-economic characteristics) and the alternative options available [153]. However, obesity is incredibly complex and many additional variables will have to come to play in considering matters of demand for its preventive goods.

There is much focus by healthcare policy makers on the provision of supportive environments for individuals to help them make healthier choices. In the UK, the government seems to concentrate on providing public health messages promoting the consumption of recommended daily fruits/vegetables and food labelling [5, 12, 30, 37, 51]. Even though these public health approaches are important in any policy approach in controlling the pandemic, another fundamental area that the UK's regional/devolved governments need to give priority to, is an understanding of the drivers that make some people have higher demand for preventive goods than others. To what extent is their demand affected by budget constraints, to what extent are they utility maximisers and what other variables are also at play? These issues are underexplored in economic research.

Conventional economics uses utility and intertemporal choice theories in the analyses of consumer behaviours particularly when it involves choices between goods whose payoffs arise at different points in time. Discounted Utility Model is the most widely used tool for the analysis which has several limitations including issues of rationality and hyperbolic discounting. Besides these limitations, utility theories cannot fully explain people's behaviours with regards to obesity preventive goods. Utility is generally described as the satisfaction (enjoyment) gained from the consumption of a good/service, but the utility gained from the consumption of obesity preventive goods may not necessarily be instantaneous. In some situations people get no immediate enjoyment from consuming obesity preventive goods with all benefits occurring in the future. In these cases demand can be said to be wholly based on utility-in-anticipation [14] rather than conventional utility-in-us [14].

Psychological approaches which mostly use HBM to understand people's health behaviours also have several limitations that can limit its use in understanding individual's preventive

behaviours in the context of obesity. For example HBM does not account for other factors that influence people's acceptance or otherwise of a health behaviour such as the person's attitudes, habitual behaviours (e.g. addictions), issues of social acceptability (hence stigma), cultural factors and beliefs, environmental and socio-economic factors which may promote or otherwise the required action.

This review has identified only one study [14] which provides some understanding of people's preventive behaviours using a utility model. Although the paper is not specific to obesity, it can be useful for further empirical research into the understanding of people's demand for obesity preventive goods. The paper identified 15 variables as the determinants for people's preventive behaviours. It argued that "the primary motivating factor in preventive behaviour is the anxiety associated with the threat, rather than the threat itself." However, while anxiety can result from the awareness of being at risk, there can still be many other factors that influence one's anxiety levels in the perspective of weight related conditions. For example one's level of education is fundamental to the understanding of the risk. Such education is also the impetus for one's acceptance or otherwise of cultural perceptions/beliefs of the threat and risks in general. Cultural influences on food habits have also been well established [154]. In addition, there may also be significant addiction elements at play.

The behavioural economic perspective which examines how decisions, under complex socio-economic and socio-cultural circumstances as well as financial constraints involving trade-offs, are made by individuals could help to provide understanding of people's preventive behaviours in the context of obesity. Since the condition is largely behavioural with complex interactions between genetic, behavioural, socio-economic, cultural and environmental factors, therefore behavioural economic, psychological and health economic theories will have to be considered together to better understand people's preventive behaviours. Any such research into the understanding of people's uptake or otherwise of obesity preventive goods should be comprehensive. It must be an empirical research that captures all or most of the complex variables that are at play across the socio-economic and socio-cultural divide.

CONCLUSIONS

Even though the morbidity and mortality impacts of obesity are enormous, participations in activities considered to be supportive to abnormal weight gain preventions are considerably low. It

cannot therefore be assumed that there will be a demand for all effective preventive goods and services. Efforts to increase the demand for obesity preventive goods require an understanding of the factors which influence individual decisions and the personal and practical reasons for resisting behaviour change. It is hence imperative for there to be further studies into alternative approaches to preventive behaviour.

The behavioural economic perspective could help to increase understanding of the preferences of individuals as it examines how decisions, under the various often complex socio-economic and socio-cultural variables as well as financial constraints involving trade-offs, are made by individuals. Understanding determinants of demand for obesity preventive goods can be vital in furthering knowledge of how changes in health policies will impact on individuals and their demand for preventive goods and as well provide a platform for effective treatments of weight related conditions.

Considering the evidences that suggest obesity is largely a behavioural issue, and the economic restraints on individuals and their families, it is surprising that there remains a paucity of studies to understand the behaviours of individuals from an economic perspective regarding demand for obesity preventive goods. It will be difficult for one to advance any specific reason for this. It is however obvious that economic research has instead concentrated on studies on the impact of the pandemic on healthcare resources and the economy and the evaluations of interventions.

Conflicts of interest

The author declares that has no competing interests in the publication of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Mr. Alexander M. French passed away on 9th September 2012 at Southern General Hospital in his home city of Glasgow, Scotland. Mr French was obese and developed cardiovascular problems in the latter parts of his life. In this connection he had a number of bypasses and a major operation to unblock the main arteries/veins to his heart. When he was attacked by colitis sometime in November 2011 he was unfortunately not able to overcome that as he subsequently developed multiple organ failures.

Mr. French was a parent to Mr. Touray in all aspects. He was a kind and benevolent individual who opened his hands and heart to people particularly the needy. He supported and helped many people directly regardless of their backgrounds and race and many more through

charities working with the poor around the world. I would like to dedicate this paper to Mr. French. I would also like to take this opportunity to thank the staff of Southern General Hospital, Glasgow (particularly those in Ward 26) for the support they provided to Mr. French during his months stay in hospital and for being so kind to his family members. I must also say a big thank you to my colleagues and supervisors in HEPRU, University of South Wales who provided comments on the draft paper.

REFERENCES

1. WHO. Obesity and overweight Geneva: WHO, Media Centre; 2012 [cited 2011 Sep18]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/topics/obesity/en/>.
2. NHS Health and Social Care Information Centre Lifestyles Statistics. Statistics on obesity, physical activity and diet: England, 2013. Leeds: National Health Service, UK, 2013.
3. The NHS Information Centre Lifestyle Statistics. Statistics on obesity, physical activity and diet: England. Leeds: The Information Centre for Health and Social Care, NHS, UK; 2008 Jan.
4. The NHS Information Centre Lifestyle Statistics. Statistics on obesity, physical activity and diet: England, February 2009. Version 1 ed: The Health and Social Care Information Centre, NHS, UK 2009.
5. The NHS Information Centre Lifestyles Statistics. Statistics on obesity, physical activity and diet: England, 2010. Version 1 ed. Leeds, UK: The Health and Social Care Information Centre, NHS, UK; 2010.
6. Deitel M. Overweight and obesity worldwide now estimated to involve 1.7 billion people. *Obes Surg*. 2003 Jun;13(3):329-30.
7. James PT, Leach R, Kalamara E, Shayeghi M. The worldwide obesity epidemic. *Obes Res*. 2001 Nov;9(Suppl. 4):228S-33S.
8. Allison DB, Fontaine KR, Manson JE, Stevens J, VanItallie TB. Annual deaths attributable to obesity in the United States. *JAMA*. 1999 Oct 27;282(16):1530-8.
9. Baltaci D, Kutlucan A, Ozturk S, Karabulut I, AKyildirim H, Celer A, Celbek G, Kara IH. Evaluation of vitamin B12 level in middle-aged obese women with metabolic and nonmetabolic syndrome: case-control study. *Turk J Med Sci*. 2012 Oct; 42(5):802-9.
10. Becker MH, Maiman LA. Sociobehavioural determinants of compliance with health and medical recommendations. *Med Care*. 1975 Jan; 13(1):10-24.

11. Bodnar LM, Simhan HN, Powers RW, Frank MP, Cooperstein E, Roberts JM. High prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency in black and white pregnant women residing in the Northern United States and their neonates. *J Nutr.* 2007 Feb; 137(2):447–52.
12. Callaghan S, D'Arcy A, Johnson S, Mindlin M, Norman D, Scriven J, Taylor V. Tackling obesity a strategy for children and adults in South West London (2006-10). National Health Service, UK; 2006.
13. Cassell J. Social anthropology and nutrition: a different look at obesity in America. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1995 Apr; 95(4):424-7.
14. Cohen D. Utility model of preventative behaviour. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 1984 Mar; 38(1):61-5.
15. Danaei G, Vander Hoorn S, Lopez AD, Murray CJL, Ezzati M, Comparative Risk Assessment collaborating group. Causes of cancer in the world: comparative risk assessment of nine behavioural and environmental risk factors. *Lancet.* 2005 Nov; 366(9499):1784-93.
16. Daneski K, Higgs P, Morgan M. From gluttony to obesity: moral discourses on apoplexy and stroke. *Social Health Illn.* 2010 Jul; 32(5):730–44.
17. Foresight. Tackling obesities: future choices – project report (2nd Ed). London: Government Office for Science, 2007.
18. Harris SS. Vitamin D and African Americans. *J Nutr.* 2006 Apr 136(4):1126–9.
19. House of Commons Select Committee. Obesity: third report of session 2003/04. London: The Stationery Office, 2004.
20. Jia H, Lubetkin EI. The impact of obesity on health-related quality-of-life in the general adult US population. *J Public Health (Oxf).* 2005 Jun; 27(2):156–64.
21. Kivimäki M, Lawlor DA, Singh-Manoux A, Batty GD, Ferrie JE, Shipley MJ, Nabi H, Sabia S, Marmot MG, Jokela M. Common mental disorder and obesity—insight from four repeat measures over 19 years: prospective Whitehall II cohort study. *BMJ.* 2009 Oct; 6;339(b3765).
22. Kivimäki M, Batty GD, Singh-Manoux A, Nabi H, Sabia S, Tabak AG, Akbaraly TN, Vahtera J, Marmot M, Jokela M. Association between common mental disorder and obesity over the adult life course. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2009 Aug; 195(2):149-55.
23. Lakka HM, Lakka TA, Tuomilehto J, Salonen JT. Abdominal obesity is associated with increased risk of acute coronary events in men. *Eur Heart J.* 2002 May; 23(9):706–13.
24. Maffei M, Halaas J, Ravussin E. Leptin levels in human and rodent: measurement of plasma leptin and ob RNA in obese and weight-reduced subjects. *Nat Med.* 1995 Nov; 1(11):1155–61.
25. McCormick B, Stone I. Economic costs of obesity and the case for government intervention. *Obes Rev.* 2007 Mar; 8(Suppl 1) :161-4.
26. Moorman C, Matulich E. A model of consumers' preventive health behaviors: the role of health motivation and health ability. *J Consum Res.* 1993 Sep; 20 (2):207-28.
27. Naukkarinen J, Rissanen A, Kaprio J, Pietiläinen KH. Causes and consequences of obesity: the contribution of recent twin studies. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2012 Aug; 36(8):1017 - 24.
28. NOO, NHS. The economic burden of obesity. London: October 2010.
29. Ong KK, Ahmed ML, Emmett PM, Preece M, Dunger DB, Avon Longitudinal Study of Pregnancy and Childhood Study Team. Association between postnatal catchup growth and obesity in childhood: prospective cohort study. *BMJ.* 2000 Apr ;320(7240):967–71.
30. Richard Bacon R, Brooke A, Clark G, Curry D, Davidson I, Dunne P, Goodman H, Healey J, Khan S, Leigh E, McCarthy-Fry S, Mitchell A, Pugh J, Touhig D, Williams A, Wright I. Tackling child obesity—first steps (report, together with formal minutes, oral and written evidence). Report. London: House of Commons Committee of Public Accounts, 2007 HC (2005-06) 801 Contract No.: Eighth Report of Session 2006–07.
31. Rosenstock IM. Why people use health services. *Milbank Mem Fun Q.* 1966 Jul; 44(3 Suppl):94-124.
32. Sassi F. Obesity and the economics of prevention: fit not fat. France: OECD Publishing; 2010.
33. Sheridan PA, Paich HA, Handy J, Karlsson EA, Hudgens MG, Sammon AB, Holland LA, Weir S, Noah TL, Beck MA. Obesity is associated with impaired immune response to influenza vaccination in humans. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2012 Aug; 36(8):1072-7.
34. Shubhada W. WHO European ministerial conference on counteracting obesity: conference report. Copenhagen World Health Organisation, 2007 EUR/07/5062742.
35. Simon GE, Von Korff M, Saunders K, Miglioretti DL, Crane PK, van Belle G, Kessler RC. Association between obesity and psychiatric disorders in the US adult population. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2006 Jul; 63 (7):824-30.
36. Sturm R. The effects of obesity, smoking, and drinking on medical problems and costs. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2002 Mar-Apr; 21(2):245-53.

37. THE COMPTROLLER AND AUDITOR GENERAL. Tackling obesity in England. London: National Audit Office, UK; 2001.
38. The NHS Information Centre for health and social care. National Diabetes Audit 2007-2008 mortality analysis. Leeds, United Kingdom: The NHS Information Centre for health and social care, 2011.
39. Tucker DM, Palmer AJ, Valentine WJ, Roze S, Ray JA. Counting the costs of overweight and obesity: modeling clinical and cost outcomes. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2006 Mar;22(3):575-86.
40. Vimalaewaran KS, Berry DJ, Lu C, Tikkanen E, Pilz S, Hiraki LT, Cooper JD, Dastani Z, Li R, Houston DK, Wood AR, Michaelsson K, Vandenput L, Zgaga L, Yerges-Armstrong LM, McCarthy MI, Dupuis J, Kaakinen M, Kleber ME, Jameson K, Arden N, Raitakari O, Viikari J. Causal relationship between obesity and vitamin D status: bi-directional mendelian randomization analysis of multiple cohorts. *PLoS Med.* 2013 Feb;10(2):e1001383.
41. Mortality and Global Burden of Disease (GBD) [Internet]. World Health Organisation. 2012. Available from: http://www.who.int/gho/mortality_burden_disease/en/.
42. Karels A, Cooper B. Obesity and its role in oral health. *Int J Allied Health Sci Pract.* 2007 Jan;5(1).
43. Malhotra S, McElroy SL. Medical management of obesity associated with mental disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2002;63(Suppl 4):24-32.
44. Manini TM, Newman AB, Fielding R, Blair SN, Perri MG, Anton SD, Goodpaster BC, Katula JA, Rejeski WJ, Kritchevsky SB, Hsu F, Pahor M. Effects of exercise on mobility in obese and nonobese older adults. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2010 Jun;18 (6):1168-75.
45. Marcus M, Wildes JE. Obesity: is it a mental disorder? *Int J Eat Disord.* 2009 Dec;42 (8):739-53.
46. Mustillo S, Worthman C, Erkanli A, Keeler G, Angold A, Jane Costello E. Obesity and psychiatric disorder: developmental trajectories. *Pediatrics.* 2003 Apr;111(4 Pt 1) : 851-9.
47. Oster G, Edelsberg J, O'Sullivan AK, Thompson D. The clinical and economic burden of obesity in a managed care setting. *Am J Manag Care.* 2000 Jun;6(6):681-9.
48. Poulain M, Doucet M, Major GC, Drapeau V, Sériès F, Boulet LP, Tremblay A, Maltais F. The effect of obesity on chronic respiratory diseases: pathophysiology and therapeutic strategies. *CMAJ* 2006 Apr 25;174(9):1293-9.
49. Redinger RN. The pathophysiology of obesity and Its clinical manifestations. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y).* 2007 Nov;3(11):856-63.
50. Stokes DM. The impact of obesity on health care delivery. *The Record.* 2004;16 (2) :34.
51. McLellan F. Obesity rising to alarming levels around the world. *Lancet.* 2002 Apr; 359(9315):1412.
52. Renehan AG. Obesity and cancer in Asia-Pacific populations. *Lancet Oncol.* 2010 Aug;11(8):704-5.
53. Roberts RE, Deleger S, Strawbridge WJ, Kaplan GA. Prospective association between obesity and depression: evidence from the Alameda County Study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2003 Apr;27(4):514-21.
54. Sjostrom CD, Peltonen M, Wedel H, Sjostrom L. Differentiated long-term effects of intentional weight loss on diabetes and hypertension. *Hypertension.* 2000 Jul;36(1):20-5.
55. Stenholm S, Rantanen T, Alanen E, Reunanen A, Sainio P, Koskinen S. Obesity history as a predictor of walking limitation at old age. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2007 Apr;15(4):929-38.
56. Sturm R, Ringel JS, Andreyeva T. Increasing obesity rates and disability trends. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2004 Mar-Apr;23(2):199-205.
57. Vismara L, Menegoni F, Zaina F, Galli M, Negrini S, Capodaglio P. Effect of obesity and low back pain on spinal mobility: a cross sectional study in women. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2010 Jan; 18;7(3).
58. Fontaine KR, Barofsky I. Obesity and health-related quality of life. *Obes Rev.* 2001 Aug;2(3):173-82.
59. Hassan MK, Joshi AV, Madhavan SS, Amonkar MM. Obesity and health-related quality of life: a cross-sectional analysis of the US population. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2003 Oct;27(10):1227-32.
60. Kaukua J, Pekkarinen T, Sane T, Mustajoki P. Health-related quality of life in obese outpatients losing weight with very-low-energy diet and behaviour modification: a 2-y follow-up study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2003 Oct;27(10):1233-41.
61. Kolotkin RL, Crosby RD, Williams GR, Hartley GG, Nicol S. The relationship between health-related quality of life and weight loss. *Obes Res.* 2001 Sep;9(9):564-71.
62. Kolotkin RL, Head S, Hamilton M, Tse CJ. Assessing impact of weight on quality of life. *Obes Res.* 1995 Jan;3(1):49-56.
63. Lakdawalla DN, Goldman DP, Shang B. The health and cost consequences of obesity among the future elderly. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2005 Sep;W5 (Suppl2):30-41.
64. Ni Mhurchu C, Bennett D, Lin R, Hackett M, Jull A, Rodgers A. Obesity and health-related quality of life: results from a weight loss trial. *N Z Med J.* 2004 Dec; 17;117(1207):U1211.
65. Swallen KC, Reither EN, Haas SA, Meier AM. Overweight, obesity, and health-related quality

- of life among adolescents: the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health. *Pediatrics*. 2005 Feb;115(2):340-7.
66. van Nunen AM, Wouters EJ, Vingerhoets AJ, Hox JJ, Geenen R. The health-related quality of life of obese persons seeking or not seeking surgical or non-surgical treatment: a meta-analysis. *Obes Surg*. 2007 Oct;17(10):1357-66.
 67. Burton WN, Chen CY, Schultz AB, Edington DW. The economic costs associated with body mass index in a workplace. *J Occup Environ Med*. 1998 Sep;40(9):786-92.
 68. Chow GC. An economic analysis of health care in China. CEPS Working Paper No 1322006.
 69. Dent M. The economic burden of obesity. Oxford: National Obesity Observatory, UK; 2010.
 70. Durden ED, Huse D, Ben-Joseph R, Chu BC. Economic costs of obesity to self-insured employers. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2008 Sep;50(9):991-7.
 71. Hughes D, McGuire A. A review of the economic analysis of obesity. *Br Med Bull*. 1997;53(2):253-63.
 72. Long DA, Reed R, Lehman G. The cost of lifestyle health risks: obesity. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2006 Mar; 48(3):244-51.
 73. Martin LF, White S, Lindstrom Jr. W. Cost-benefit analysis for the treatment of severe obesity *World J Surg*. 1998 Sep;22(9):1008-17.
 74. Stephens T, Joubert N. The economic burden of mental health problems in Canada. *Chronic Dis Can*. 2001 Feb;22(1):18-23.
 75. Wang G, Dietz WH. Economic burden of obesity in youths aged 6 to 17 Years: 1979-1999. *Pediatrics*. 2002 May;109(5):E81-1.
 76. Wang G, Zheng ZJ, Heath G, Macera C, Pratt M, Buchner D. Economic burden of cardiovascular disease associated with excess body weight in U.S. adults. *Am J Prev Med*. 2002 Jul;23(1):1-6.
 77. Wang LY, Yang Q, Lowry R, Wechsler H. Economic analysis of a school-based obesity prevention program. *Obes Res*. 2003 Nov;11(11):1313-24.
 78. Wolf AM, Colditz GA. Social and economic effects of body weight in the United States. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1996 Mar;63(3 Suppl):466S-9S.
 79. Wolf AM, Colditz GA. Current estimates of the economic cost of obesity in the United States. *Obes Res*. 1998 Mar;6(2):97-106.
 80. Finkelstein EA, Fiebelkorn IC, Wang G. State-level estimates of annual medical expenditures attributable to obesity. *Obes Res*. 2004 Jan;12(1):18-24.
 81. Finkelstein EA, Fiebelkorn IC, Wang G. National medical spending attributable to overweight and obesity: how much, and who's paying? *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2003 Jan-Jun;W3(suppl):219-26.
 82. Wang YC, McPherson K, Marsh T, Gortmaker SL, Brown M. Health and economic burden of the projected obesity trends in the USA and the UK. *Lancet*. 2011 Aug; 27;378(9793):815-25.
 83. O'Grady MJ, Capretta JC. Assessing the economics of obesity and obesity interventions. Washington D.C.: Campaign to end obesity, 2012.
 84. Tran BX, Nair AV, Kuhle S, Ohinmaa A, Veugelers PJ. Cost analyses of obesity in Canada: scope, quality, and implications. *Cost Eff Resour Alloc*. 2013 Feb; 8;11(1):3.
 85. Cooke A, Smith D, Booth A. Beyond PICO: the SPIDER tool for qualitative evidence synthesis. *Qual Health Res*. 2012 Oct;22(10):1435-43.
 86. Leijon ME, Stark-Ekman D, Nilsson P, Ekberg K, Walter L, Ståhle A, Bendtsen P. Is there a demand for physical activity interventions provided by the health care sector? Findings from a population survey. *BMC Public Health*. 2010 Jan;10:34.
 87. Dishman RK, Motl RW, Saunders R, Felton G, Ward DS, Dowda M, Pate RR. Enjoyment mediates effects of a school-based physical-activity intervention. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2005 Mar;37(3):478-87.
 88. Hodgins M, Fuller R. 'Come for the weight loss, stay for the enjoyment' - exploring attributions for initiating and maintaining exercise. *Irish J Psychol*. 2001 May;22(2):51-8.
 89. Schneider M, Cooper DM. Enjoyment of exercise moderates the impact of a school-based physical activity intervention. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2011 Jun; 20;8:64.
 90. Dishman RK, Motl RW, Saunders R, Felton G, Ward DS, Dowda M, Pate RR. Self-efficacy partially mediates the effect of a school-based physical-activity intervention among adolescent girls. *Prev Med*. 2004 May;38(5):628-36.
 91. Cawley J. An economic framework for understanding physical activity and eating behaviors. *Am J Prev Med*. 2004 Oct;27(3 Suppl):117-25.
 92. Sturm R. The economics of physical activity: societal trends and rationales for intervention. *Am J Prev Med*. 2004 Oct;27(3 Suppl):126-35.
 93. Drewnowski A. Obesity and the food environment: dietary energy density and diet costs. *Am J Prev Med*. 2004 Oct;27(3 Suppl) :154-62.
 94. Pratt M, Macera CA, Sallis JF, O'Donnell M, Frank L. Economic interventions to promote physical activity: applications of the SLOTH model. *Am J Prev Med*. 2004 Oct;27(3 Suppl):136-45.

95. Finkelstein EA, French S, Variyam JN, Haines PS. Pros and cons of proposed interventions to promote healthy eating. *Am J Prev Med.* 2004;27(3 Suppl):163-71.
96. Tsai AG, Williamson DF, Glick HA. Direct medical cost of overweight and obesity in the USA: a quantitative systematic review. *Obes Rev.* 2011 Jan;12(1):50-61.
97. Finkelstein EA, Fiebelkorn C, Wang G. The costs of obesity among full-time employees *Am J Health Promot.* 2005 Oct;20(1):45-51.
98. Allison DB, Zannolli R, Narayan KM. The direct health care costs of obesity in the United States. *Am J Public Health.* 1999 Aug;89(8):1194-9.
99. Anderson LH, Martinson BC, Crain AL, Pronk NP, Whitebird RR, Fine LJ, O'Connor PJ. Health care charges associated with physical inactivity, overweight, and obesity. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2005 Oct;2(4):A09.
100. Andreyeva T, Sturm R, Ringel JS. Moderate and severe obesity have large differences in health care costs. *Obes Res.* 2004 Dec; 12(12):1936-43.
101. Arterburn DE, Maciejewski ML, Tsevat J. Impact of morbid obesity on medical expenditures in adults. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2005 Mar;29(3):334-9.
102. Bungum T, Satterwhite M, Jackson AW, Morrow JR. The relationship of body mass index, medical costs, and job absenteeism. *Am J Health Behav.* 2003 Jul-Aug;27(4):456-62.
103. Cornier MA, Tate CW, Grunwald GK, Bessesen DH. Relationship between waist circumference, body mass index, and medical care costs. *Obes Res.* 2002 Nov;10(11):1167-72.
104. Daviglus ML, Liu K, Yan LL, Pirzada A, Manheim L, Manning W, Carside DB, Wang R, Dyer AR, Greenland P, Stamler J. Relation of body mass index in young adulthood and middle age to Medicare expenditures in older age. *JAMA.* 2004 Dec;292(22):2743-9.
105. Finkelstein EA, Trogdon JG, Cohen JW, Dietz W. Annual medical spending attributable to obesity: payer- and service specific estimates. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2009 Sep-Oct;28(5):W822-W31.
106. Gorsky RD, Pamuk E, Williamson DF, Shaffer PA, Koplan JP. The 25-year health care costs of women who remain overweight after 40 years of age. *Am J Prev Med.* 1996 Sep-Oct;12(5):388-94.
107. Heithoff KA, Cuffel BJ, Kennedy S, Peters J. The association between body mass and health care expenditures. *Clin Ther.* 1997 Jul-Aug; 19(4):811-20.
108. Quesenberry CP, Caan B, Jacobson A. Obesity, health services use, and health care costs among members of a health maintenance organization. *Arch Intern Med.* 1998 Mar 9; 158(5):466-72.
109. Raebel MA, Malone DC, Conner DA, Xu S, Porter JA, Lantzy FA. Health services use and health care costs of obese and nonobese individuals. *Arch Intern Med.* 2004 Oct 25; 164(19):2135-40.
110. Thompson D, Brown JB, Nichols GA, Elmer PJ, Oster G. Body mass index and future healthcare costs: a retrospective cohort study. *Obes Res.* 2001 Mar;9(3):210-8.
111. Thompson D, Edelsberg J, Colditz GA, Bird AP, Oster G. Lifetime health and economic consequences of obesity. *Arch Intern Med.* 1999 Oct;159(18):2177-83.
112. Thompson D, Edelsberg J, Kinsey KL, Oster G. Estimated economic costs of obesity to U.S. business. *Am J Health Promot.* 1998 Nov-Dec;13(2):120-7.
113. Thorpe KE, Florence CS, Howard DH, Joski P. The rising prevalence of treated disease: effects on private health insurance spending. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2005 Jan-Jun;W5(Suppl Web Exclusives):W317-W25.
114. Tucker LA, Clegg AG. Differences in health care costs and utilization among adults with selected lifestyle-related risk factors. *Am J Health Promot.* 2002 Mar-Apr;16(4):225-33.
115. Wang F, McDonald T, Reffitt B, Edington DW. Relationship of body mass index and physical activity to health care costs among employees. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2004 May;46(5):428-36.
116. Wang F, McDonald T, Reffitt B, Edington DW. BMI, physical activity, and health care utilization/costs among Medicare retirees. *Obes Res.* 2005 Aug;13(8):1450-7.
117. Wolf AM, Finer N, Allshouse AA, Pendergast KB, Sherrill BH, Catterson I, Hill JO, Aronne LJ, Hauner H, Radigue C, Amand C, Despres JP. PROCEED: prospective obesity cohort of economic evaluation and determinants: baseline health and healthcare utilization of the US sample. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2008 Dec; 10(12):1248-60.
118. Tarride J, Haq M, Taylor VH, Sharma AM, Nakhai-Pour HR, O'Reilly D, Xie F, Dolovich L, Goeree R. Health status, hospitalizations, day procedures, and physician costs associated with body mass index (BMI) levels in Ontario, Canada. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res.* 2012 Jan 24;4:21-30.
119. Mission Readiness - Military Leaders for Kids. Too fat to fight - retired military leaders want junk food out of America's schools. Washington D.C.: Mission Readiness, 2010.
120. Flum DR, Salem I, Elrod JA, Dellinger EP, Cheadle A, Chan I. Early mortality among

- Medicare beneficiaries undergoing bariatric surgical procedures. *JAMA*. 2005 Oct;19 294 (15):1903-8.
121. Wolfe BM, Morton JM. Weighing in on bariatric surgery: procedure use, readmission rates, and mortality. *JAMA*. 2005 Oct; 19;294(15):1960-3.
122. Buchwald H, Avidor Y, Braunwald E, Jensen MD, Pories W, Fahlbach K, Schoelles K. Bariatric surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2004 Oct;292 (14): 1724-37.
123. Andersen T, Backer OG, Stokholm KH, Quaade F. Randomized trial of diet and gastroplasty compared with diet alone in morbid obesity. *N Engl J Med*. 1984 Feb; 9;310(6):352-6.
124. Andersen T, Stokholm KH, Backer OG, Quaade F. Long-term (5-year) results after either horizontal gastroplasty or very-low-calorie diet for morbid obesity. *Int J Obes*. 1988;12(4):277-84.
125. Clegg A, Colquitt J, Sidhu M, Royle P, Walker A. Clinical and cost effectiveness of surgery for morbid obesity: a systematic review and economic evaluation. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2003 Oct;27(10):1167-77.
126. Halpern A, Mancini MC. Treatment of obesity: an update on anti-obesity Medications. *Obes Rev*. 2003 Feb;4(1):25-42.
127. Elangbam CS. Current strategies in the development of anti-obesity drugs and their safety concerns. *Vet Pathol*. 2009 Jan;46(1):10-24.
128. Kang JG, Park P. Anti-obesity drugs: a review about their effects and safety. *Diabetes Metab J*. 2012 Feb;36(1):13-25.
129. Heal DJ, Gosden J, Smith SL. What is the prognosis for new centrally-acting anti-obesity drugs? *Neuropharmacology*. 2012 Jul;63 (1) :132-46.
130. Powell AG, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ. New drug targets for the treatment of obesity. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2011 Jul;90(1):40-51.
131. Vetter ML, Faulconbridge LF, Webb V, Wadden TA. Behavioral and pharmacologic therapies for obesity. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2010 Oct;6(10):578-88.
132. Buckwalter JA. Clinical trial of jejunoileal and gastric bypass for the treatment of morbid obesity: four-year progress report. *Am Surg*. 1980 Jul;46(7):377-81.
133. de Wit LT, Mathus-Vliegen L, Hey C, Rademaker B, Gouma DJ, Obertop H. Open versus laparoscopic adjustable silicone gastric banding - A prospective randomized trial for treatment of morbid obesity. *Ann Surg*. 1999 Dec;230(6):800-5.
134. Howard L, Malone M, Michalek A, Carter J, Alger S, Van Woert J. Gastric bypass and vertical banded gastroplasty - a prospective randomized comparison and 5-year follow-up. *Obes Surg*. 1995 Feb;5(1):55-60.
135. Karason K, Lindroos AK, Stenlof K, Sjostrom L. Relief of cardiorespiratory symptoms and increased physical activity after surgically induced weight loss: results from the Swedish Obese Subjects study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000 Jun;160(12):1797-802.
136. Karlsson J, Sjostrom L, Sullivan M. Swedish obese subjects (SOS) intervention study of obesity. Two-year follow-up of health-related quality of life (HRQL) and eating behaviour after gastric surgery for severe obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1998 Feb;22(2):113-26.
137. MacLean LD, Rhode BM, Forse RA, Nohr C. Surgery for obesity - an update of a randomized trial. *Obes Surg*. 1995 May;5(2): 145-50.
138. MacLean LD, Rhode BM, Sampalis J, Forse RA. Results of the surgical treatment of obesity. *Am J Surg*. 1993 Jan;165(1):155-60.
139. Nguyen NT, Goldman C, Rosenquist CJ, Arango A, Cole CJ, Lee SJ, Wolfe BM. Laparoscopic versus open gastric bypass: a randomized study of outcomes, quality of life, and costs. *Ann Surg*. 2001 Sep;234(3):279-89.
140. Pories WJ, Flickinger EG, Meelheim D, Van Rij AM, Thomas FT. The effectiveness of gastric bypass over gastric partition in morbid obesity: consequence of distal gastric and duodenal exclusion. *Ann Surg*. 1982 Oct;196(4):389-99.
141. Sugerma HJ, Starkey JV, Birkenhauer R. A randomized prospective trial of gastric bypass versus vertical banded gastroplasty for morbid obesity and their effects on sweets versus non-sweets eaters. *Ann Surg*. 1987 Jun;205(6):613-24.
142. Westling A, Gustavsson S. Laparoscopic vs open Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a prospective, randomized trial. *Obes Surg*. 2001 Jun; 11 (3):284-92.
143. Blissmer B, Riebe D, Dye G, Ruggiero L, Greene G, Caldwell M. Health-related quality of life following a clinical weight loss intervention among overweight and obese adults: intervention and 24 month follow-up effects; Health and Quality of Life Outcomes. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2006 Jul;4(43):1-8.
144. Wiczinski E, Doering A, John J, von Lengerke T. Obesity and health-related quality of life: Does social support moderate existing associations? *Br J Health Psychol*. 2009 Nov; 14(Pt 4):717-34.

145. Wille N, Bullinger M, Holl R, Hoffmeister U, Mann R, Goldapp C, Reinehr T, Westenhöfer J, Egmond-Froehlich A, Ravens-Sieberer U. Health-related quality of life in overweight and obese youths: Results of a multicenter study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2010 Apr 7;8:36.
146. Caterson ID. Medical management of obesity and its complications. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2009 Jan;38(1):22-7.
147. Billon N, Monteiro MC, Dani C. Developmental origin of adipocytes: new insights into a pending question. *Biol Cell*. 2008 Oct; 100(10):563-75.
148. Cannon B, Nedergaard J. Developmental biology: neither fat nor flesh. *Nature*. 2008 Aug 21;454(7207):947-8.
149. Kershaw EE, Flier JS. Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2004 Jun;86(6):2548-56.
150. WHO. 2008-2013 action plan for the global strategy for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organisation; 2008.
151. WHO. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organisation; 2010.
152. Lancet T. Who pays in the obesity war. *Lancet*. 2004 Jan;363(9406):339.
153. Touray MML, Acharya A, Hutubessy R. The cost effectiveness of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in the routine infant immunisation programme of The Gambia. *J Pharm Health Serv Res*. 2011 Jul;2(3):175-84.
154. Bisogni CA, Connors M, Devine CM, Sobal J. Who we are and how we eat: a qualitative study of identities in food choice. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2002 May-Jun;34(3):128-39.