

Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation: Equipping communities to save lives

Mani G.*, Danasekaran R, Annadurai K.

Department of Community Medicine, Shri Sathya Sai Medical College and Research Institute, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

Majority of the occurrences of cardiac arrest happen outside the hospital and are associated with poor survival due to delay in recognition and provision of early cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). Bystanders are often the first witness to these occurrences. Early CPR provided by trained bystanders would play an effective role in saving

the patient through the golden hour. So equipping them with CPR knowledge and skill will empower them to act in times of need and improve outcomes in cardiac arrest.

Key words: Cardiac arrest, out of hospital, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation

***Corresponding sauthor:**

Geetha Mani

Plot no. 428, Arul Nagar, Nandhivaram Guduvancheri

Kancheepuram District

Tamil Nadu, India

Tel.: +919444220555

e-mail: drgeethammc@gmail.com

Received: 29.06.2014

Accepted: 11.08.2014

Progress in Health Sciences

Vol. 4(2) 2014 pp 190-194

© Medical University of Białystok, Poland

Bystander Cardiopulmonary resuscitation: Equipping communities to save lives Out-of-hospital Cardiac arrest: Introduction

Out-of-Hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA), are those cardiac arrest events which occur outside the healthcare settings and cause considerable morbidity, mortality and a substantial burden on the health care system. Cardiac causes account for approximately three-fourths of these events [1]. Acute coronary syndrome and coronary vasospasm are the commonest causes among elderly and young individuals respectively. Various non-cardiac causes also contribute to OHCA, the commonest being trauma, drowning, drug overdose, asphyxia, electrocution and primary respiratory arrest [2].

Out of Hospital Cardiac arrest: Bystander's role

OHCA is an important public health problem because the probability of recovery is small though the process is potentially reversible [1]. Outcomes after OHCA as measured by survival rate and cerebral performance category are dismal compared to In-hospital cardiac arrest due to delay in recognition and provision of essential care [1]. In case of an OHCA, initial care in the first few critical minutes which includes CPR and use of an automated external defibrillator (AED) depends on the actions of the bystanders [3]. Bystander has a significant role in identification of the event and the victim's need for assistance, calling the emergency helpline number, carrying out the dispatcher's instructions and performing CPR [4].

Bystander CPR: The concept and statistics

Bystander CPR has been recognized as a vital link in improving survival of OHCA victims by two to three times [4,5]. The time interval between collapse to initiation of CPR, type of CPR administered namely conventional or chest compression-only CPR are important factors which greatly influence the outcomes following bystander assisted OHCA in addition to other factors such as health-related quality of life before arrests, location of arrest and cardiac rhythm at arrest [4,6-9]. Komatsu et al. [10] has reported that bystander CPR is a significant pre-hospital contributory factor in the survival and neurological outcomes in post-cardiac arrest syndrome following OHCA. Chan et al. [11] in their analysis on recent trends of OHCA survival in the United States demonstrate the potential role of bystander CPR in pre-hospital survival of OHCA which further positively influences the overall survival.

Hence, it is essential that CPR knowledge and adequate training be imparted to the common man to enable them play an effective role in saving the patient through the initial precious minutes. In adult OHCA, bystanders performing chest compression only CPR is considered to be as

effective as conventional CPR. Compression only CPR can be easily performed even by untrained bystanders [9]. Recent OHCA statistics available from few developed countries report low bystander CPR rates. Less than 50% of the occurrences received bystander CPR, 40.1% and 42% in United States and France respectively [10,11]. Studies on OHCA from Germany, Singapore and Taiwan reported bystander CPR rates between 15% and 22.9% [12-14]. Rajaram et al. [8] reported a bystander rate of 4.4% in OHCA in a study from urban India. Recent published literature have analysed the trends in bystander rates in population-based, prospective cohort studies. The trends in bystander rates as documented by OHCA related registries from United States (28.7% increase from 2005-2012) and Netherlands (increased by 23% from 2006-2012) reveal significantly positive trends which are encouraging [11,15-18].

Kitamura et al. [9] in their nationwide, 5-year prospective population study on OHCA's demonstrated a 2-fold increment in 1 month survival; with favourable neurological out-comes in OHCA's with effective bystander CPR. Similar significant improvement in survival outcomes has also been observed in a population-based cohort study from 2006 to 2012 by Blom et al. in Netherlands [18].

Despite OHCA being a potential public health problem, there is a deficit of research exploring the burden of OHCA and role of bystander CPR in survival of these cases in many other countries of the world.

Bystander CPR as a public health measure: Challenges and solutions

The overall low prevalence of bystander CPR brings to attention the various obstacles in implementing this as an effective public health measure to improve survival rates. On an average only one-third of OHCA receive bystander CPR [13]. Studies from various countries reveal less than adequate, resuscitation-related knowledge and competence among health care personnel. The level of knowledge among common people is even lesser [19]. The following are the commonest reasons cited from various studies to explain the bystanders' reluctance to initiate CPR- sense of panic, concern for harming or injuring the victim further, fear of liability, fear of inadequate knowledge or incorrect performance of the technique, fear of transmission of communicable diseases through mouth-to-mouth ventilation, victim characteristics and region-specific sociocultural characteristics [5,17, 20, 21].

Strategies to improve bystander CPR must enable timely and comprehensive identification of cardiac arrest, encourage and empower bystanders to act, and help ensure effective CPR [17].

Table 1 summarises the challenges in implementing bystander CPR as a public health measure from the bystanders' and the policy-makers' perspective and possible solutions.

Table 1. Improving bystander CPR rates: challenges and solutions.

Challenges	Solutions
Bystanders perspectives	
Lack of motivation [5, 17, 21]	Widespread media campaigns and health education programmes promotion of early response and assistance from Emergency medical services. Mandatory CPR training as part of issuing or renewing driver's licence; as part of higher secondary education completion [23]
Failure to recognise cardiac arrest [5]	Widespread media campaigns and health education programmes, Simplification of arrest identification [22] Regionally developed user-friendly flow-charts describing the signs and symptoms of cardiac arrest and step-wise actions to impart basic knowledge
Reluctance to perform mouth-mouth ventilation due to the intimacy of the act and fear of transmission of infectious diseases [5, 17]	Chest compressions only CPR [22] Reassurance [23]
Complexity of resuscitation guidelines [5,22]	Just-in-time' dispatcher assisted CPR [22]
Poor retention of knowledge and skills [24]	Periodic refresher training, Dispatch-assisted CPR instructions, promoting self-training modules and videos [23] Encouraging self-practising on manikins [23]
Fear of failure and lack of confidence [25]	Community-level CPR training Virtual learning methods for eligible groups
Fear of legal liability [5]	Reassurance and suitable legislations to protect bystander resuscitators Creating awareness about the central toll-free helpline numbers for immediate assistance [24] Empowering with knowledge to activate the Emergency Medical services at the earliest [24]
Psychological stress associated with witnessing a cardiac arrest [20]	Post-event psychological counselling for the rescuer and the bystanders [20]
Policy maker's perspectives	
Lack of adequate epidemiological data	Epidemiological registries of OHCA's, similar to Cardiac Arrest Registry to Enhance Survival (CARES) in United States and Amsterdam Resuscitation Study (ARREST) in The Netherlands [2, 18] Identification of neighbourhood characteristics, bystander characteristics, bystander CPR rates Common database for notification of OHCA's encountered by hospitals and physicians Use of Geographic Information System (GIS) to locate OHCA's, identify the associated factors and use of information to improve bystander CPR rates [26]
Implementation of training programmes	Separate modules for fresher courses and refresher courses Separate modules for specific to the various training groups like students, public and health professionals Assistance to corporate and government organisations to identify and employ of feasible initiatives to train employees Engaging professional organisations School curriculum-based training on basic life support [27] BLS training should be imparted as part of training of scouts, guides and cadet corps Effective co-ordination between professional organisations, non-governmental organisations and regional health institutions in implementation of training courses and provision of bystander assistance in OHCA's CPR training in potential vacation spots Web based interactive applications Smart phone user friendly applications
Identification of potential groups to impart CPR training	Family members of cardiac patients, utilisation of consultation and follow-up sessions to provide CPR training for first-degree relatives and caregivers [23] Providing CPR courses as part of teacher training and driving schools

In conclusion, Bystander CPR applied under right circumstances could mean the difference between life and death. Equipping people with this vital skill empowers them to act in times of emergency and would greatly improve survival rates and neurological outcomes in OHCA events.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Financial disclosure

No external source of funding.

REFERENCES

1. Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Borden WB, Bravata DM, Dai S, Ford ES, Fox CS, Franco S, Fullerton HJ, Gillespie C, Hailpern SM, Heit JA, Howard VJ, Huffman MD, Kissela BM, Kittner SJ, Lackland DT, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth LD, Magid D, Marcus GM, Marelli A, Matchar DB, McGuire DK, Mohler ER, Moy CS, Mussolino ME, Nichol G, Paynter NP, Schreiner PJ, Sorlie PD, Stein J, Turan TN, Virani SS, Wong ND, Woo D, Turner MB: on behalf of the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart Disease and Stroke statistics-2013 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2013 Jan 1;127(1):e6-e245.
2. Sasson C, Rogers MA, Dahl J, Kellermann AL. Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2010 Jan;3(1):63-81.
3. Abella BS, Aufderheide TP, Eigel B, Hickey RW, Longstreth WT Jr, Nadkarni V, Nichol G, Sayre MR, Som margren CE, Hazinski MF; American Heart Association. Reducing barriers for implementation of bystander-initiated cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association for healthcare providers, policymakers, and community leaders regarding the effectiveness of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation*. 2008 Feb 5;117(5):704-9.
4. Sasson C, Meischke H, Abella BS, Berg RA, Bobrow BJ, Chan PS, Dowling Root E, Heisler M, Levy JH, Link M, Masoudi F, Ong M, Rumsfeld JS, Sayre MR, Rea TD; on behalf of the American Heart Association Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research, Emergency Cardiovascular Care Committee, Council on Cardiopulmonary, Critical Care, Perioperative and Resuscitation, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia. Increasing cardiopulmonary resuscitation provision in communities with low bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation rates: a science advisory from the American Heart Association for healthcare providers, policymakers, public health departments, and community leaders. *Circulation*. 2013 Mar;127:1342-50.
5. Nielsen AM, Isbye DL, Lippert FK, Rasmussen LS. Can mass education and a television campaign change the attitudes towards cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a rural community? *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2013 May 15;21:39.
6. Yasunaga H, Horiguchi H, Tanabe S, Akahane M, Ogawa T, Koike S, Imamura T. Collaborative effects of bystander-initiated cardiopulmonary resuscitation and prehospital advanced cardiac life support by physicians on survival of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a nationwide population-based observational study. *Crit Care*. 2010;14(6):R199.
7. Stiell IG, Wells GA, Field B, Spaite DW, Nesbitt LP, De Maio VJ, Nichol G, Cousineau D, Blackburn J, Munkley D, Luinstra-Toohey L, Campeau T, Dagnone E, Lyver M; Ontario Prehospital Advanced Life Support Study Group. Advanced cardiac life support in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *New Engl J Med*. 2004 Aug 12;351(7):647-56.
8. Rajaram R, Rajagopalan RE, Pai M, Mahendran S. Survival after cardiopulmonary resuscitation in an urban Indian hospital. *Natl Med J India*. 1999. Mar-Apr;12(2):51-5.
9. Kitamura T, Iwami T, Kawamura T, Nitta M, Nagao K, Nonogi H, Yonemoto N, Kimura T; Japanese Circulation Society Resuscitation Science Study Group. Nationwide improvements in survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Japan. *Circulation*. 2012 Dec 11;126(24):2834-43.
10. Komatsu T, Kinoshita K, Sakurai A, Moriya T, Yamaguchi J, Sugita A, Kogawa R, Tanjoh K. Shorter time until return of spontaneous circulation is the only independent factor for a good neurological outcome in patients with postcardiac arrest syndrome. *Emerg Med J*. 2014 Jul; 31(7):549-55.
11. Chan PS, McNally B, Tang F, Kellermann A; CARES Surveillance Group. Recent Trends in Survival From Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in the United States. *Circulation*. 2014 Nov 18;130(21):1876-82.
12. Japanese Circulation Society Resuscitation Science Study Group. Chest-compression-only bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the 30:2 compression-to-ventilation ratio era. Nationwide observational study. *Circ J*. 2013; 77(11):2742-50.

13. American Heart Association. Cardiac arrest statistics. [cited 2014 June 20]. Available at: http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/General/Cardiac-Arrest-Statistics_UCM_448311_Article.jsp.
14. European Society of Cardiology. "Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival just 7 percent." *Science Daily*, 1 September 2013. [cited 2014 June 15]. Available at www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/09/130901154147.htm.
15. Wnent J, Bohn A, Seewald S, Fischer M, Messelken M, Jantzen T, Gräsner I, Gräsner JT. [Bystander resuscitation: the impact of first aid on survival]. *Anesthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther*. 2013 Sep;48(9):562-5.
16. Chiang WC, Ko PC, Chang AM, Chen WT, Liu SS, Huang YS, Chen SY, Lin CH, Cheng MT, Chong KM, Wang HC, Yang CW, Liao MW, Wang CH, Chien YC, Lin CH, Liu YP, Lee BC, Chien KL, Lai MS, Ma MH. Bystander-initiated CPR in an Asian metropolitan: does the socio-economic status matter? *Resuscitation*. 2014 Jan; 85(1):53-8.
17. Leong BSH. Bystander CPR and survival. *Singapore Med J*. 2011 Aug; 52(8):573-5.
18. Blom MT, Beesems SG, Homma PCM, Zijlstra JA, Hulleman M, Hoeijen Dv, Bardai A, Tijssen JGP, Tan H, Koster RW. Improved survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and use of automated external defibrillators. *Circulation*. 2014 Nov;130 (21):1868-75.
19. Chaudhary A, Parikh H, Dave V. Current scenario: Knowledge of basic life support in medical college. *Nat J Med Res*. 2011;1:80-2.
20. Bhanji F, Mancini ME, Sinz E, Rodgers DL, McNeil MA, Hoadley TA, Meeks RA, Hamilton MF, Meaney PA, Hunt EA, Nadkarni VM, Hazinski MF. Part 16: education, implementation, and teams: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2010 Nov; 122(Supl 3):S920 –S33.
21. Fong YT, Anantharaman V, Lim SH, Leong KF, Pokkan G. Mass cardiopulmonary resuscitation 99-survey results of a multi-organisational effort in public education in cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2001 May;49(2):201-5.
22. Bradley SM, Rea TD. Improving bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2011 Jun;17(3):219-24.
23. Vaillancourt C, Stiell IG, Wells GA. Understanding and improving low bystander CPR rates: a systematic review of the literature. *CJEM*. 2008 Jan;10(1):51-65.
24. Bahr J. CPR education in the community. *Eur J Emerg Med*. 1994 Dec;1(4):190-2.
25. Shibata K, Taniguchi T, Yoshida M, Yamamoto K. Obstacles to bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation in Japan. *Resuscitation*. 2000 May; 44(3):187-93.
26. Lerner EB, Fairbanks RJ, Shah MN. Identification of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest clusters using a geographic information system. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2005 Jan;12(1):81-4.
27. Connolly M, Toner P, Connolly D, McCluskey DR. The 'ABC for life' programme—Teaching basic life support in schools. *Resuscitation*. 2007 Feb;72(2):270-9.